UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/807,843                                     | 03/24/2004  | Helmuth Gabl         | ANDPAT/186/US       | 5476             |
| 2543 7590 04/27/2007<br>ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 750 MAIN STE                                   | REET        | ROSENBAUM, MARK      |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1400<br>HARTFORD, CT 06103               |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3725                |                  |
|  |             | •                    |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 04/27/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/807,843      | GABL, HELMUTH |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |
| Mark Rosenbaum  | 3725          |  |

|   | mant recombación   | 0,20  |
|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | owing replies: (1) an amendment, af<br>lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m                 | ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)                       |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili  | ing date of the final rejection.   | ·   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin  | ng date of the final rejection.   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o<br>TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP   |  | E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL | extension and the corresponding amount<br>e shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>er than three months after the mailing da | t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>   | tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since  |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |   |
| 3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in b   | consideration and/or search (see NC<br>low);   | DTE below);   |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | a corresponding number of finally re   | siected claims  |
| NOTE: <u>e.g. claim 7, 'separate from'</u> . (See 37 CF   | , -  | jected ciairis.   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  | • • •  | ompliant Amandment (RTOL 224)   |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>  |  | ompliant Amendment (FTOL-324).  |
|   | •  | Almonto Ella di annon dino colta con collino Abra   |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-21,23-26,28,29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | ill be entered and an explanation of  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | out before or on the date of filing a Nand sufficient reasons why the affida   | lotice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered<br>vit or other evidence is necessary and         |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented.  | eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).                               |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | ion of the status of the claims after of   | entry is below or attached.   |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered to  | out does NOT place the application i   | in condition for allowance because:   |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:   | ). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   | M. m. Park  |
|   | ľ  | 1000  |
|   |  | Mark Rosenbaum  |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3725